REMARKS

Claims 22 was objected to. Claims 1, 7, 8 and 23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C 103 as being unpatentable over Lloyd in view of Engelberts. Claims 4 to 6 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Lloyd in view of Engelberts and further in view of Wiedenmann.

Claim 22 has been amended.

Reconsideration of the application in view of the following is respectfully requested.

Claim Objection

Claim 22 has been amended as suggested by the Examiner and applicants thank the Examiner for noting the inconsistency. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

35 U.S.C.103 Rejection

Claims 1, 7, 8 and 23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C 103 as being unpatentable over Lloyd in view of Engelberts. Claims 4 to 6 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Lloyd in view of Engelberts and further in view of Wiedenmann.

Claim 1 recites "wrapping a second strip of a second material about projecting ends of the plurality of first tabs so as to form a cylindrical outer wall."

Cylindrical outer wall 37 can be seen in Fig. 4 (which is a cutaway view), and is made from the second strip of material, as described in the specification at [0009], for example.

The relevant dictionary definition of a cylinder is "a solid consisting of two parallel planes bounded by identical closed curves, usually circles, that are interconnected at every point by a set of parallel lines perpendicular to the planes" or an outer surface of such a solid. See http://www.wordreference.com, for example.

This definition is consistent with the shape of outer wall 37 shown in Fig. 4 of the present specification.

The helical strip 33 of Engelberts does not form a cylindrical outer wall, but rather forms a spaced helical strip, which is neither cylindrical nor a wall. The helical strip never contacts itself to form a cylindrical outer surface, i.e. a surface that has interconnected parallel lines between two parallel planes formed by closed curves. Moreover, a wall encloses a

6

DDK

structure. The helical strip of Engelberts is not a wall.

Thus even if there were proper motivation to combine the teachings of Lloyd with Engelberts (and it is respectfully submitted that there is none), the combination would not meet the present claim limitation of a cylindrical outer wall.

Moreover, claim 1 recites a method for making a roll for a rotary printing press. The proposed combination with a helical raised outer surface would appear not to be able to function as a roll of a printing press.

Withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections to claim 1 and its dependent claims is respectfully requested.

7

CONCLUSION

The present application is believed to be in condition for allowance and applicants respectfully request such action. No fee is believed required. If any fee is required at this time, the Assistant Commissioner is authorized to charge payment of the same to Deposit Account No. 50-0552.

Respectfully Submitted,

DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC

By:

Cary S. Kappel Reg. No. 36,561

Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC 485 Seventh Avenue, 14th Floor New York, New York 10018 (212) 736-1940

` /

00K .